

REGINA MOORE,

V.

DEBBIE MCLINTOCK, ET AL.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM

Owing to its brevity, the statement of the plaintiff's claim is quoted below in its entirety.

Debbie McLintock has given information about my personal business to several people.

Ramblewood Apts. Ltd. has in their employment Debbie McLintock and has no[t] stopped her from violating the Privacy Act.

(Docket Entry No. 1, ¶ 4, p. 2).

Case 3:09-cv-00655 Document 7 Filed 07/17/09 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 18

lacks an arguable basis in law or fact if it contains factual allegations that are fantastic or delusional, or if it is based on legal theories that are indisputably meritless. *Id.* at 327-28; *Brown v. Bargery*, 207 F.3d 863, 866 (6th Cir. 2000); *see also Lawler v. Marshall*, 898 F.2d 1196, 1198-99 (6th Cir. 1990).

A cause of action is available under the Privacy Act only against an agency of the United States. *See Windsor v. The Tennessean*, 719 F.2d 155, 159-60 (6th Cir.1983). Neither defendant is a federal agency. Consequently, the Privacy Act does not pertain to them. Because the plaintiff's claims against the defendants lack an arguable basis in law or fact, the complaint will be dismissed as frivolous.

An appropriate Order will be entered.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert L. Echols", written in a cursive style.

Robert L. Echols
United States District Judge